THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.18833 of 2003

Dated: 28.09.2006

Between:

Ch. Anjaiah, S/o Jogaiah, aged about 32 years, Occ: Conductor, E.No.2903213, R/o Nagapoor, Post: H. Ganpoor, Medak District.

.... PETITIONER

AND

APSRTC, rep. by its Managing Director, Musheerabad, Hyderabad and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

Writ Petition No.18833 of 2003

Order:

Questioning the action of the respondents-Corporation in regularizing the services of the petitioner with effect from 01.08.1995, instead of regularizing his services from the date of his initial appointment i.e., with effect from 01.07.1992, as was done in case of his juniors, namely Agamaiah and Praveen kumar, the present writ petition is filed.

When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner and admitted to by the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-Corporation that the subject matter of this writ petition is squarely covered by the judgment of the apex Court in ***Divisional Manager, APSRTC & others v. P. Lakshmoji Rao***[1], and they both agreed to dispose of the present writ petition on the same terms.

I n ***Divisional Manager, APSRTC & others v. P. Lakshmoji Rao***, the Supreme Court dealt with the rights of the Conductors and Drivers, appointed on daily wage basis. After taking the various aspects into account, the Supreme Court directed that if any Conductors, who are junior to the respondent therein, in the relevant seniority list of the concerned Division or Region, have been extended the benefit of seniority and regularization, the Corporation shall extend the same benefit to the employees, who are seniors to those who are regularized.

In that view of the matter, following the said judgment, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents-Corporation that in case

any Conductors, who are appointed on daily wage basis along with the petitioner and are juniors to him, have been regularized, the same benefit shall be extended to the petitioner also. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J.

Date: 28.09.2006
Nsr/lsl

---

1 2004 (3) ALD 1 (SC)